

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED FEBRUARY 14, 2019

**NEW ISSUE
SERIAL BONDS**

RATINGS: (See “RATINGS” herein)

In the opinion of Bond Counsel to the City, under existing statutes, regulations, administrative rulings, and court decisions, and assuming continuing compliance by the City with its covenants relating to certain requirements contained in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and the accuracy of certain representations made by the City, interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income of the owners thereof for Federal income tax purposes and is not an “item of tax preference” for purposes of the Federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and, for tax years beginning prior to January 1, 2018, the Federal alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations; interest on the Bonds is, however, included in “adjusted current earnings” for purposes of calculating the Federal alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations with respect to tax years beginning prior to January 1, 2018. Bond Counsel is also of the opinion that under existing statutes interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York and any political subdivision thereof (including The City of New York). No opinion is expressed regarding other Federal or State tax consequences arising with respect to the Bonds. See “TAX MATTERS” herein.

The Bonds will NOT be designated by the City as “qualified tax-exempt obligations” pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

**CITY OF LONG BEACH
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**\$11,055,000*
REFUNDING SERIAL BONDS – 2019
(the “Bonds”)**

Date of Issue: Date of Delivery

Maturity Date: January 15, 2020 – 2030

The Bonds are general obligations of the City of Long Beach, Nassau County, New York, (the “City”) and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the City for the payment of the principal thereof and interest thereon and, unless paid from other sources, the Bonds are payable from ad valorem taxes which may be levied upon all the taxable real property within the City, subject to certain statutory limitations imposed by Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 of the State of New York (see “TAX INFORMATION - Tax Levy Limitation Law” in Appendix A hereto).

The Bonds are dated their Date of Delivery and will bear interest from that date until maturity at the annual rate or rates as specified by the purchaser of the Bonds, payable on July 15, 2019 and semiannually thereafter on January 15 and July 15 in each year until maturity. The Bonds shall mature on January 15 in each year in the principal amounts specified on the inside cover page hereof. The Bonds will be subject to redemption prior to maturity. (See “Optional Redemption” herein).

DTC will act as Securities Depository for the Bonds registered to Cede & Co. Individual purchases may be made in book-entry form only, in principal amounts of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Bonds. Payment of the principal of and interest on such Bonds will be made by the City to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial owners of such Bonds as described herein. (See “Book-Entry-Only System” herein.)

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued by the City subject to the final approving opinion of Harris Beach PLLC, Hempstead, New York, Bond Counsel to the City, and certain other conditions. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has served as Municipal Advisor to the City in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. It is expected that delivery of the Bonds will be made through the offices of DTC on or about March 19, 2019.

THIS PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS IN A FORM DEEMED FINAL BY THE CITY FOR PURPOSES OF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15c2-12 (THE “RULE”). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE CITY’S AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE CONTINUING DISCLOSURE FOR THE BONDS AS DESCRIBED IN THE RULE, SEE “DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING” HEREIN.

Dated: February __, 2019

* Preliminary, subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained in it are subject to completion and amendment in a final Official Statement. This Preliminary Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, and there may not be any sale of the Bonds, in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to the registration or qualification under the securities laws of that jurisdiction.

The Bonds will mature on January 15 in the years and amounts, subject to optional redemption, as set forth below:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Amount*</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Amount*</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>
2020	\$1,250,000	%	%	2026	\$ 895,000	%	%
2021	1,220,000			2027	940,000		
2022	1,260,000			2028	990,000**		
2023	780,000			2029	1,015,000**		
2024	810,000			2030	1,045,000**		
2025	850,000						

* The principal amounts of the Bonds are subject to adjustment following the sale of the Bonds, pursuant to the terms of the accompanying Notice of Sale.

** Subject to optional redemption prior to maturity.

**CITY OF LONG BEACH
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK**

ACTING CITY MANAGER

ROBERT AGOSTISI

CITY COUNCIL

ANTHONY ERAMO..... PRESIDENT

CHUMI R. DIAMOND..... VICE PRESIDENT

JOHN BENDO MEMBER

SCOTT J. MANDEL..... MEMBER

ANISSA D. MOORE MEMBER

ERIN D'ANTONIO ACTING COMPTROLLER

MICHAEL H. ROBINSON CITY TREASURER

DAVID FRASER CITY CLERK

ROBERT AGOSTISI, ESQ. CORPORATION COUNSEL

**BOND COUNSEL
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
*Hempstead, New York***

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR



CAPITAL MARKETS ADVISORS, LLC
*Long Island * Hudson Valley * Southern Tier * Western New York*
(516) 487-9818

No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the City to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained in this Official Statement and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the City. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Bonds by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. The information set forth herein has been obtained by the City from sources which are believed to be reliable but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City since the date hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>		<u>Page</u>
THE BONDS	1	Superstorm Sandy	7
Description of the Bonds	1	MARKET AND RISK FACTORS	8
Authorization and Refunding Plan for the Bonds	1	TAX MATTERS	8
Sources and Uses of Proceeds.....	3	LEGAL MATTERS	9
Verification of Mathematical Computations.....	3	LITIGATION.....	10
Optional Redemption.....	3	DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING	11
Nature of Obligation	4	Disclosure Undertaking for the Bonds	11
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM	4	Compliance History.....	13
SPECIAL PROVISION AFFECTING REMEDIES		RATING	13
UPON DEFAULT	6	MUNICIPAL ADVISOR	13
FACTORS AFFECTING THE CITY AND THE		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	14
BONDS	7		

APPENDIX A

THE CITY	A-1	Valuations and Tax Data	A-13
General Information.....	A-1	Real Property Tax Collection Procedure	A-13
Form of Government	A-2	Real Property Tax Collection Record.....	A-13
Financial Organization.....	A-2	Ten Largest Taxpayers	A-14
Employees	A-3	Sales and Compensating Use Taxes	A-14
Employee Pension Benefits	A-3	CITY INDEBTEDNESS	A-14
Other Post Employment Benefits.....	A-4	Constitutional Requirements	A-15
Recent Residential and Commercial		Statutory Procedure	A-15
Development.....	A-5	Trend of Outstanding Indebtedness	A-16
FINANCIAL FACTORS	A-6	Constitutional Debt-Contracting Limitation.....	A-17
Budgetary Procedures	A-6	Debt Statement Summary	A-17
Financial Statements	A-6	Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness.....	A-18
Statutes Governing City’s Investment Policy	A-7	Debt Ratios.....	A-18
Revenues.....	A-7	Authorized but Unissued Items	A-18
State Aid.....	A-7	Debt Service Schedule.....	A-19
Results of Operations and Deficits.....	A-8	Installment Purchase Debt.....	A-19
Long Term Fiscal Recovery Plan.....	A-9	ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA	A-20
Deficit Financing Legislation	A-9	Population Trends	A-20
TAX INFORMATION	A-11	Selected Listing of Major Employers.....	A-20
Real Estate Tax Levying Limitation	A-11	Unemployment Rate Statistics.....	A-20
Tax Levy Limitation Law	A-11	Other Information.....	A-21

APPENDIX B – SUMMARY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

APPENDIX C – AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OFFICIAL STATEMENT
CITY OF LONG BEACH
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK

Relating to

\$11,055,000*
REFUNDING SERIAL BONDS - 2019

This Official Statement including the cover page and appendices hereto, has been prepared by the City of Long Beach, Nassau County, New York, (the “City”, “County”, and “State”, respectively) and presents certain information relating to the City’s \$11,055,000* Refunding Serial Bonds – 2019 (the “Bonds”).

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of the Constitution and laws of the State of New York (the “State”) contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof and all references to the Bonds and the proceedings of the City relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive forms of the Bonds and such proceedings.

THE BONDS

Description of the Bonds

The Bonds are dated their Date of Delivery and will bear interest from that date until maturity, payable on July 15, 2019 and semiannually thereafter on January 15 and July 15 in each year until maturity. The Bonds shall mature on January 15 in each year in the principal amounts specified on the inside cover page hereof. The Bonds will be subject to redemption prior to maturity. (See “*Optional Redemption*” herein).

The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”). DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. Individual purchases may be made in book-entry form only, in the principal amount of \$5,000 and integral multiples thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Bonds.

Principal of and interest on the Bonds will be paid by the City to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal of and interest on to its Participants (defined herein), for subsequent disbursement to the Beneficial Owners (defined herein) of the Bonds as described herein. The Bonds may be transferred in the manner described on the Bonds and as referenced in certain proceedings of the City referred to therein.

The record payment date for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds is the last business day of the calendar month preceding each interest payment date.

Authorization and Refunding Plan for the Bonds

The Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and Laws of the State of New York, including among others, the City Charter and the Local Finance Law, including particularly Section 90.10, and a refunding bond ordinance duly adopted by the City Council on February 5, 2019.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

The Bonds are being issued to refund up to \$1,485,000 outstanding principal amount of the City’s Public Improvement (Serial) Bonds, 2007 which mature in the years 2020 to 2022, inclusive (the “2007 Refunded Bonds”) and up to \$10,385,000 outstanding principal of the City’s Public Improvement (Serial) Bonds, 2010 which mature in years 2020 to 2030, inclusive (the “2010 Refunded Bonds” and, together with the 2007 Refunded Bonds, the “Refunded Bonds”). The 2007 Refunded Bonds were issued in the original principal amount of \$5,575,000 and the 2010 Refunded Bonds were issued in the original principal par amount of \$16,045,000. Under the Refunding Plan, the Refunded Bonds that are subject to redemption prior to maturity are to be called and redeemed on April 22, 2019. The net proceeds of the Bonds (after payment of the underwriting fee and other costs of issuance relating to the Bonds), together with \$300,000 of available funds, will be used to purchase non-callable, direct obligations of or obligations guaranteed by the United States of America (the “Government Obligations”) which, together with remaining cash proceeds from the sale of the Bonds, will be placed in an irrevocable trust fund (the “Escrow Fund”) to be held by Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, (the “Escrow Holder”), a bank located and authorized to do business in the State, pursuant to the terms of an escrow contract by and between the City and the Escrow Holder, dated as of the delivery date of the Bonds (the “Escrow Contract”). The Government Obligations so deposited will mature in amounts which, together with the cash so deposited, will be sufficient to pay the principal of, interest on and applicable redemption premium of the Refunded Bonds on the date of their redemption.

The holders of the Refunded Bonds will have a first lien on all investment income from, and maturing principal of the Government Obligations, along with other available monies held in the Escrow Fund. The Escrow Contract shall terminate upon final payment by the Escrow Holder to the paying agents/fiscal agent for the Refunded Bonds amounts from the Escrow Fund adequate for the payment, in full, of the Refunded Bonds, including interest and any redemption premium payable with respect thereto.

The Refunding Plan will permit the City to realize, as a result of the issuance of the Bonds, cumulative dollar and present value debt service savings.

Under the Refunding Plan, the Refunded Bonds will continue to be general obligations of the City. However, inasmuch as the Government Obligations held in the Escrow Fund will be sufficient to meet all required payments of principal, interest and redemption premium requirements when required in accordance with the Refunding Plan, it is not anticipated that any other source of payment will be required.

2007 Refunded Bonds

<u>Maturity Date:</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Redemption Date/Price</u>
April 15, 2020	\$ 475,000	4.000%	542518 3F0	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
April 15, 2021	495,000	4.000	542518 3G8	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
April 15, 2022	<u>515,000</u>	4.000	542518 3H6	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
Total:	<u>\$1,485,000</u>			

2010 Refunded Bonds

<u>Maturity Date:</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Redemption Date/Price</u>
January 15, 2020	\$ 770,000	4.000%	542518 4G7	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2021	800,000	4.000	542518 4H5	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2022	835,000	4.000	542518 4J1	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2023	865,000	4.000	542518 4K8	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2024	900,000	4.000	542518 4L6	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2025	935,000	4.000	542518 4M4	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2026	975,000	4.000	542518 4N2	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2027	1,015,000	4.000	542518 4P7	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2028	1,055,000	4.000	542518 4Q5	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2029	1,095,000	4.000	542518 4R3	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
January 15, 2030	<u>1,140,000</u>	4.000	542518 4S1	April 22, 2019 @ 100%
Total:	<u>\$10,385,000</u>			

Sources and Uses of Proceeds

Sources:

Refunding Bond Proceeds:

Par Amount	\$
Issuer Contribution	
Original Issue Premium (Discount)	

Total:

Uses:

Refunding Escrow Deposits:	\$
Delivery Date Expenses:	
Costs of Issuance and Contingency	
Underwriter's Discount	

Total: \$

Verification of Mathematical Computations

Causey Demgen & Moore P.C. will verify based upon the information provided to them, the mathematical accuracy, as of the date of the closing of the Bonds, of: (1) the computations contained in the provided schedules to determine that the anticipated receipts from the Government Obligations and cash deposits listed in the provided schedules, to be held in escrow, will be sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of and interest on the Bonds, and (2) the computations of the yield on both the Government Obligations and the Bonds contained in the provided schedules to be used by Bond Counsel in its determination that the interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for Federal income tax purposes.

Optional Redemption

Call Provisions. The Bonds maturing on or before January 15, 2027 are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. The Bonds maturing on or after January 15, 2028 will be subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the City, on any date on or after January 15, 2027, in whole or in part, and if in part in any order of their maturity and in any amount within a maturity (selected by lot within a maturity), at the redemption equal to the principal amount of the Bonds to be redeemed, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

Selection of Bonds to be Redeemed. So long as DTC or a successor securities depository is the sole registered owner of the Bonds, the City will cause notice of redemption to be given only to DTC as registered owner. The selection of the book-entry interests within each bond maturity to be redeemed will be done in accordance with DTC procedures. See “Book-Entry-Only System” herein regarding DTC’s practice of determining by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant for partial bond redemptions.

If the Bonds are not registered in book-entry form, any redemption of less than all of a maturity of the Bonds shall be allocated (in the amounts of \$5,000 or any whole multiple) among the registered owners of such maturity of the Bonds then outstanding as nearly as practicable in proportion to the principal amounts of such maturity of the Bonds owned by each registered owner. This will be calculated based on the following formula:

$$\frac{(\text{principal to be redeemed}) \times (\text{principal amount owned by owner})}{(\text{principal amount outstanding})}$$

Notice of Redemption. Notice of such call for redemption shall be given by mailing such notice to the registered owner at least thirty (30) days prior to the date set for such redemption. Notice of redemption having been given as aforesaid, the Bonds so called for redemption shall, on the date of redemption set forth in such call for redemption, become due and payable, together with accrued interest to such redemption date, and interest shall cease to be paid thereon after such redemption date.

Nature of Obligation

The Bonds when duly issued and paid for will constitute a contract between the City and the holder thereof.

The Bonds will be general obligations of the City and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the City for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal of and interest on the Bonds, the City has the power and statutory authorization to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable real property in the City, subject to certain statutory limitations imposed by the Tax Levy Limit Law. (See “TAX INFORMATION – Tax Levy Limitation Law” in Appendix A hereto.)

Under the Constitution of the State, the City is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the City to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the City’s power to increase its annual tax levy. As a result, the power of the City to levy real estate taxes on all the taxable real property within the City is subject to statutory limitations set forth in Tax Levy Limit Law, unless the City complies with certain procedural requirements to permit the City to levy certain year-to-year increases in real property taxes. (See “TAX INFORMATION – Tax Levy Limitation Law” in Appendix A hereto.)

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM

The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) will act as securities depository for the Bonds. Said Bonds will be issued as fully-registered bonds registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world’s largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates.

Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of the Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each bond (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC’s records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities within an issue are being redeemed, DTC’s practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC’s MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the County as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.’s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC’s practice is to credit Direct Participants’ accounts upon DTC’s receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the County, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC’s records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in “street name,” and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the City, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds, distributions, and dividend payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the City, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the City. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The City may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the City believes to be reliable, but the City takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

THE CITY WILL NOT HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR OBLIGATION TO PARTICIPANTS, TO INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR TO ANY BENEFICIAL OWNER WITH RESPECT TO (I) THE ACCURACY OF ANY RECORDS MAINTAINED BY DTC, ANY PARTICIPANT, OR ANY INDIRECT PARTICIPANT; (II) THE PAYMENTS BY DTC OR ANY PARTICIPANT OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANT OF ANY AMOUNT WITH RESPECT TO THE PRINCIPAL OF, OR PREMIUM, IF ANY, OR INTEREST ON THE BONDS; (III) ANY NOTICE WHICH IS PERMITTED OR REQUIRED TO BE GIVEN TO BONDHOLDERS; (IV) THE SELECTION BY DTC OR ANY PARTICIPANT OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANT OF ANY PERSON TO RECEIVE PAYMENT IN THE EVENT OF A PARTIAL REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS; OR (V) ANY CONSENT GIVEN OR OTHER ACTION TAKEN BY DTC AS BONDOWNER.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS AFFECTING REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT

Section 3-a of the General Municipal Law provides, subject to exceptions not pertinent, that the rate of interest to be paid by the City upon any judgment or accrued claim against it shall not exceed nine per centum per annum. This provision might be construed to have application to the holders of the Bonds in the event of a default in the payment of the principal of or interest on the Bonds.

In accordance with the general rule with respect to municipalities, judgments against the City may not be enforced by levy and execution against property owned by the City. Remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the City's contract with holders of its bonds and notes.

The Federal Bankruptcy Code allows public bodies recourse to the protection of a Federal Court for the purpose of adjusting outstanding indebtedness. Section 85.80 of the Local Finance Law contains specific authorization for any municipality in the State to file a petition under any provision of Federal bankruptcy law for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness.

There are separate State law provisions regarding debt service moratoriums enacted into law in 1975.

At the Extraordinary Session of the State Legislature held in November, 1975, legislation was enacted which purported to suspend the right to commence or continue an action in any court to collect or enforce certain short-term obligations of The City of New York. The effect of such act was to create a three-year moratorium on actions to enforce the payment of such obligations. On November 19, 1976, the Court of Appeals, the State's highest court, declared such act to be invalid on the ground that it violates the provisions of the State Constitution requiring a pledge by such City of its faith and credit for the payment of such obligations.

As a result of the Court of Appeals decision, the constitutionality of that portion of Title 6-A of Article 2 of the Local Finance Law enacted at the 1975 Extraordinary Session of the State legislature authorizing any county, city, town or village with respect to which the State has declared a financial emergency to petition the State Supreme Court to stay the enforcement against such municipality of any claim for payment relating to any contract, debt or obligation of the municipality during the emergency period, is subject to doubt. In any event, no such emergency has been declared with respect to the City.

There is in the Constitution of the State, Article VIII, Section 2, the following provision relating to the annual appropriation of monies for the payment of due principal of and interest on indebtedness of every county, city, town, village and school district in the State: "If at any time the respective appropriating authorities shall fail to make such appropriations, a sufficient sum shall be set apart from the first revenues thereafter received and shall be applied to such purposes. The fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set aside and apply such revenues as aforesaid at the suit of any holder of obligations issued for any such indebtedness."

This Constitutional provision providing for first revenue set asides does not apply to tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes or bond anticipation notes.

FACTORS AFFECTING THE CITY AND THE BONDS

Superstorm Sandy

On October 29, 2012, Superstorm Sandy, then a Category 1 post-tropical cyclone, struck the southern Atlantic coast of Nassau County, New York. The resulting storm surge and winds caused substantial damage to the City, as well as widespread physical damage (including loss of electrical power and other utilities) throughout the City and in nearby areas of New York City and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. In the days following the storm, most schools and businesses - and many roads, bridges and public transportation systems - were closed. The full extent of the damage caused by Superstorm Sandy has yet to be finally determined. The total economic cost to the East Coast is currently estimated to be between \$40 and \$70 billion. The City sustained substantial damage from both wind and storm surge. It is not yet possible to fully establish the economic impact of Superstorm Sandy on the City. Original estimates of the losses included \$33-\$48 million for debris removal and \$125-150 million in infrastructure repairs. Actual costs to date are detailed out below:

Certain expenses relating to debris removal, emergency protective measures, repairs and reconstruction of roads, bridges, utility systems and governmental buildings, and restoration of parks, are eligible for financial assistance from FEMA. FEMA has been actively engaged, and it is expected that sufficient federal funding will be available to meet all verified claims. FEMA is authorized to reimburse the City for 90% of many of the City's storm clean up and rehabilitation expenses. The State has announced the availability of funding to cover the remaining 10% for initial street clearance and reopening of roads. The City expects that nearly all of the costs will be covered by insurance proceeds, FEMA aid and State aid.

The City has received insurance payments totaling \$10.8 million from its insurance carriers. On December 18, 2012 the City received an advance check from FEMA in the amount of \$24.32 million (75% of estimated costs) that has been applied to the FEMA Category A clean-up and debris removal costs. Subsequent to this advance FEMA's share of the costs was increased to 90%. In July 2014, Governor Cuomo announced the State would cover the remaining 10%. While much remains uncertain, the restoration of services and the rebuilding of utility, commercial, residential and community infrastructure and buildings has already started and in many cases completed. The City has submitted Project Worksheets ("PWs") to FEMA with total expenses of approximately \$120 million and has received \$107,860,399 from these completed project worksheets. The City's beachfront boardwalk was reconstructed pursuant to a \$44,200,000 contract and is now complete. The project worksheet for the reconstruction of the Boardwalk has been completed and the City has received full reimbursement for FEMA's share of these costs.

The City has been working diligently with FEMA and the State to complete the outstanding PWs for each of the projects related to the rebuilding of the damaged infrastructure.

The funding received from the substantially completed PWs to date cover payment of approximately 88% of the projected expenses from Superstorm Sandy recovery costs.

The tentative 2019/2020 taxable assessment roll is 2.75% greater than the pre-Sandy final taxable assessment roll from 2012/2013. The past few years the City has had a positive assessment roll showing growth. With many construction projects still under way or not started yet, the City expects future growth in upcoming assessment/tax rolls.

The City has created a separate set of accounts to track the revenues and expenses related to the Superstorm Sandy recovery efforts. This will allow the City to maintain the ability to perform multi-year comparisons of its normal operating revenue and expenses without having to adjust for the Superstorm Sandy related items.

MARKET AND RISK FACTORS

The financial condition of the City as well as the market for the Bonds could be affected by a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the City's control. There can be no assurance that adverse events in the State, and in other jurisdictions in the country including, for example, the seeking by a municipality or large taxable property owner of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Code or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Bonds. If a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or another jurisdiction, or of any of its agencies or political subdivisions thereby further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by borrowers within the State, both the ability of the City to arrange for additional borrowings, and the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Bonds, could be adversely affected.

The City is dependent in part on financial assistance from the State. However, if the State should experience difficulty in borrowing funds in anticipation of the receipt of State taxes and revenues in order to pay State aid to municipalities and counties in the State, including the City, in any year, the City may be affected by a delay, until sufficient taxes have been received by the State to make State aid payments to the City.

In addition, there may be unforeseen adverse events within the City that affect the market for the Bonds, which could result in adverse comment by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or any other rating agency with respect to the City's financial situation, or in possible actions by these rating agencies to withdraw, suspend or lower their credit ratings on outstanding indebtedness and obligations of the City.

Other adverse events within the City that could affect the market for the Bonds include any events which impact upon the City's ability to eliminate projected budget deficits in future fiscal years; economic trends within the City; and labor actions by unionized employees of the City. It is anticipated that the various news media will report on events which occur in the City and that such media coverage as well as such events could have an impact on the market for, and the market price of the Bonds. See "Factors Affecting the City and the Bonds" herein.

TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes, regulations, administrative rulings and court decisions and assuming compliance by the City with certain covenants and the accuracy of certain representations, interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), imposes various limitations, conditions and other requirements which must be met at and subsequent to the date of issue of the Bonds in order that interest on the Bonds will be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Included among these requirements are restrictions on the investment and use of proceeds of the Bonds and in certain circumstances, payment of amounts in respect of such proceeds to the United States. Failure to comply with the requirement of the Code may cause interest on the Bonds to be includable in gross income for purposes of federal income tax, possibly from the date of issuance of the Bonds. The City has covenanted to comply with certain procedures and it has made certain representations and certifications, designed to assure satisfaction of the requirements of the Code in respect to the Bonds. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes compliance with such covenants and the accuracy, in all material respects, of such representations and certificates.

Bond Counsel is of the further opinion that interest on the Bonds is not an "item of tax preference" for purposes of federal alternative minimum tax on individuals. Interest on the Bonds is, however, included the calculation of "adjusted current earnings" for purposes of calculating the Federal alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations with respect to tax years beginning prior to January 1, 2018. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds, should consult with their tax advisors regarding the calculation of any federal alternative minimum tax liability.

Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should be aware that ownership of the Bonds, and the accrual or receipt of interest thereon, may have collateral federal income tax consequences for certain taxpayers, including financial institutions, property and casualty insurance companies, S corporations, certain foreign corporations, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad benefits and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry such obligations. Prospective purchasers should consult their tax advisors as to any possible collateral consequences of their ownership of the Bonds and their accrual or receipt of interest thereon. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such collateral federal income tax consequences.

The Bonds will NOT be designated by the City as “qualified tax exempt obligations” within the meaning of, and pursuant to, Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes imposed by the State and any political subdivision thereof, including The City of New York.

Any noncompliance with the federal income tax requirements set forth above with respect to the Bonds would not affect the exemption of interest thereon from personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof.

Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other state or local tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the receipt or accrual of interest on, the Bonds.

Interest on the Bonds may or may not be subject to state or local income taxes in jurisdictions other than the State of New York under applicable state or local tax laws. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion, however, as to the tax treatment of the Bonds under other state or local jurisdictions. Each purchaser of the Bonds should consult his or her own tax advisor regarding the taxable status of the Bonds in a particular state or local jurisdiction other than the State of New York.

Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine (or to inform any person) whether any actions taken (or not taken) or events occurring (or not occurring) after the date of issuance and delivery of the Bonds may affect the tax status of interest on the Bonds.

No assurance can be given that any future legislation, including amendments to the Code or the State income tax laws, regulations, administrative rulings, or court decisions, will not, directly or indirectly, cause interest on the Bonds to be subject to Federal or State income taxation, or otherwise prevent Bondholders from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. Further, no assurance can be given that the introduction or enactment of any such future legislation, or any judicial decision or action of the Internal Revenue Service or any State taxing authority, including, but not limited to, the promulgation of a regulation or ruling, or the selection of the Bonds for audit examination, or the course or result of any Internal Revenue Service examination of the Bonds or of obligations which present similar tax issues, will not affect the market price or marketability of the Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors regarding the foregoing matters.

All summaries and explanations of provisions of law do not purport to be complete and reference is made to such laws for full and complete statements of their provisions.

ALL PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS OF THE BONDS SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR TAX ADVISORS IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE CODE AS TO THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PURCHASING OR HOLDING THE BONDS.

LEGAL MATTERS

Legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Bonds will be subject to the final approving opinion of Harris Beach PLLC, Hempstead, New York, Bond Counsel to the City. Such legal opinion will state that in the opinion of Bond Counsel (i) the Bonds have been authorized and issued in accordance with the Constitution and statutes of the State of New York and constitute valid and legally binding general obligations of the City, all the taxable real property within which is subject to the levy of ad valorem taxes to pay the Bonds and interest thereon,

subject to the statutory limits of Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 of the State of New York (See “TAX INFORMATION – Tax Levy Limitation Law” in Appendix A hereto); provided, however, that the enforceability (but not the validity) of such Bonds may be limited by any applicable existing or future bankruptcy, insolvency or other law (State or Federal) affecting the enforcement of creditors' rights.

Such legal opinions will also state that (i) in rendering the opinions expressed therein, Bond Counsel has assumed the accuracy and truthfulness of all public records, documents and proceedings examined by Bond Counsel which have been executed or certified by public officials acting within the scope of their official capacities, and has not verified the accuracy or truthfulness thereof, and Bond Counsel also has assumed the accuracy of the signatures appearing upon such public records, documents and proceedings and such certifications; (ii) the scope of Bond Counsel's engagement in relation to the issuance of the Bonds, as applicable, has extended solely to the examination of the facts and law incident to rendering the opinions expressed therein; (iii) the opinions expressed therein are not intended and should not be construed to express or imply any conclusion that the amount of real property subject to taxation within the boundaries of the City together with other legally available sources of revenue, if any, will be sufficient to enable the City to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds as the same become due and payable; (iv) reference should be made to the Official Statement for factual information which, in the judgment of the City, would materially affect the ability of the City to pay such principal and interest; and (v) while Bond Counsel has participated in the preparation of the Official Statement, Bond Counsel has not verified the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the factual information contained therein and, accordingly, no opinion is expressed by Bond Counsel as to whether the City, in connection with the sale of such Bonds, has made any untrue statement of a material fact, or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make any statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

LITIGATION

The City is subject to a number of lawsuits in the ordinary conduct of its affairs. The City does not believe, however, that such suits, individually or in the aggregate, are likely to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the City, except as noted below.

In April 2006, the City acquired, via eminent domain, individual parcels of land collectively comprising 6 acres of land on the oceanfront between Long Beach Boulevard and Riverside Boulevard. Two of the property holders who owned various parcels of land have challenged the valuations and amounts of the advance payments made on the April 18, 2006 taking date. The Nassau County Supreme Court has rendered two decisions and two judgments have been filed. In January 2015, the Appellate Division, Second Department issued two separate decisions which upheld these judgments.

In September 2015, the New York State Court of Appeals denied the City's appeal of the Second Department's decisions. The claims associated with these judgments are estimated to total between \$19 and \$22 million. Together with \$2.5 million in available escrowed funds, \$15.6 million proceeds of bond anticipation notes issued by the City in February 2016 were used to finance payments totaling \$18.1 million to the plaintiffs and thereby provide funding for the first and largest phase of the claims associated with this litigation. Of the two separate claims for attorneys' fees, one is still in appeal. The other, attorneys' fees award, for approximately \$1.745 million, was affirmed on appeal, and the corresponding judgment has since been satisfied by the City.

In 2002, a commercial real estate developer commenced litigation that claims the City has improperly prevented it from building certain residential cooperative towers on the City's waterfront on Shore Road. The Plaintiffs/Petitioners are seeking both zoning relief and money damages. In March 2015, the trial court (Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Nassau) granted the plaintiffs' motion seeking a default judgment against the City and the Zoning Board of Appeals of the City of Long Beach (“ZBA”). The individual ZBA members were dismissed from the action shortly thereafter. Both parties filed appeals. On July 19, 2017, the Appellate Division, Second Department issued two separate decisions in favor of the Plaintiffs/Petitioners. Accordingly, the individual ZBA defendants were reinstated as parties, and the default judgment (against all Defendants/Respondents) was affirmed. Upon remand, the trial court scheduled a damages inquest to occur in October 2018. While the plaintiffs are claiming approximately \$55 million in damages, the amount the City may ultimately be liable for cannot be

determined at this time as actual damages need to be established at the damages inquest, and are based on alleged losses suffered by the Plaintiffs/Petitioners. This inquest is currently scheduled to take place in March 2018.

iStar Financial, Inc. (“iStar”), through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Shore Road-Long Beach Superblock LLC (collectively, “iStar”), the owner and developer of the “Superblock” property in Long Beach, has long threatened to institute a breach-of- contract action (stemming from a January 2014 settlement agreement) seeking approximately \$105 million in damages.

On August 3, 2017, a CPLR Article 78 Petition was filed against the ZBA, the City’s Building Commissioner, and iStar, by several residents who sought to annul the Long Beach Building Commissioner’s issuance of a permit to iStar,. In the alternative, Petitioners sought to compel the ZBA to place the matter on its calendar to review of the Building Commissioner’s permit, and to examine whether the ZBA’s variance (originally issued in February 2014) expired by its own terms. Subsequently, the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Nassau, issued a decision on January 22, 2018, which remanded this matter to the ZBA. Consequently, the ZBA conducted a court-ordered hearing on April 10, 2018. On May 16, 2018, iStar filed its long-awaited \$105 million lawsuit against the City (alleging breach of contract) and the Long Beach Local Development Corporation (a separate corporate entity).

On May 24, 2018, the ZBA voted unanimously to revoke iStar’s existing permit (see above). The ZBA subsequently issued findings of fact, and, on June 21, 2018, iStar filed an Article 78 petition challenging the ZBA’s decision. Subsequently, Kirklin filed a notice of discontinuance which released the ZBA and Building Commissioner in the first matter. Thereafter, the ZBA filed a motion to dismiss wherein it alleged that iStar failed to name a necessary party to the proceeding, and requesting the dismissal of the action on the ground that the ZBA’s decision was rational. On December 13, 2018, the Court ruled in favor of the City by upholding the ZBA’s decision in its entirety. In addition, the Court permitted the release of the City from the Kirklin matter. If this decision is affirmed after iStar’s (anticipated) appeal, the City expects that it will have a major impact on iStar’s separate \$105 million case, as most of the damages alleged therein stem from iStar’s claim for lost profits -- on a project that they now lack permission to build.

DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING

Disclosure Undertaking for the Bonds

At the time of the delivery of the Bonds, the City will provide an executed copy of its “Undertaking to Provide Continuing Disclosure” (the “Undertaking”). Said Undertaking will constitute a written agreement or contract of the City for the benefit of holders of and owners of beneficial interests in the Bonds, to provide, or cause to be provided to the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) System implemented by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any successor thereto or to the functions of such Board contemplated by the Undertaking,

(1) (i) certain annual financial information and operating data for the preceding fiscal year, in a form generally consistent with the information contained or cross-referenced in this Official Statement under the heading “Litigation” and in Appendix A under the headings “The City”, “Financial Information”, “Tax Information”, “City Indebtedness” and Appendix B and Appendix C, within six months following the end of each fiscal year, commencing with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 and (ii) within six months following the end of each fiscal year, the audited financial statement, if any, of the City for each fiscal year commencing with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 unless such audited financial statement, if any, shall not then be available in which case the unaudited financial statement shall be electronically filed with the MSRB within six (6) months following the end of each fiscal year and an audited financial statement shall be electronically filed with the MSRB within sixty (60) days after it becomes available and in no event later than one (1) year after the end of each fiscal year;

(2) timely notice, not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of such event, of the occurrence of any of the following events:

- (a) Principal and interest payment delinquencies

- (b) Non-payment related defaults, if material
- (c) Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties
- (d) In the case of credit enhancement, if any, provided in connection with the issuance of the Bonds, unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties
- (e) Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform
- (f) Adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701 TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax- status of the Bonds
- (g) Modifications to rights of Bondholders, if material
- (h) Bond calls, if material and tender offers
- (i) Defeasances
- (j) Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds
- (k) Rating changes
- (l) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the City
- (m) The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the City or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the City, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material
- (n) Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material
- (o) Incurrence of a financial obligation of the City, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the City, any of which affect security holders, if material
- (p) Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the City, any of which reflect financial difficulties

(3) in a timely manner, notice of its failure to provide the aforescribed annual financial information and operating data and such audited financial statement, if any, on or before the date specified.

Event (c) is included pursuant to a letter from the SEC staff to the National Association of Bond Lawyers dated September 19, 1995. However, event (c) is not applicable, since no "debt service reserves" will be established for the Bonds.

With respect to event (d) the City does not undertake to provide any notice with respect to credit enhancement added after the primary offering of the Bonds.

For the purposes of the events identified in clauses (o) and (p) above, the term "financial obligation" means a (i) debt obligation; (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (iii) a guarantee of (i) or (ii). The term financial obligation shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement has been provided to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board consistent with the Rule.

The City may from time to time choose to provide notice of the occurrence of certain other events in addition to those listed above, if the City determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Bonds; but the City does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any material event except those events listed above.

The City's Undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until such time as the principal of, redemption premiums, if any, and interest on the Bonds shall have been paid in full or in the event that those portions of the Rule which require the Undertaking, or such provision, as the case may be, do not or no longer apply to the Bonds. The sole and exclusive remedy for breach or default under the Undertaking is an action to compel specific performance of the Undertakings of the City, and no person or entity, including a Holder of the Bonds, shall be entitled to recover monetary damages thereunder under any circumstances. Any failure by the City to comply with the Undertaking will not constitute a default with respect to the Bonds.

The City reserves the right to amend or modify the Undertaking under certain circumstances set forth therein; provided that any such amendment or modification will be done in a manner consistent with Rule 15c2-12, as amended.

Compliance History

Since the fall of 2008, there have been in excess of 25 rating actions on bond insurers reported by Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch. Due to widespread knowledge of the downgrades to such bond insurers, material event notices were not filed pursuant to every rating action.

On May 30, 2014, the City filed an event notice regarding the status of the ratings of the bond insurers on various bonds issued by the City.

On July 9, 2014, the City filed an event notice that the City was late in filing its 2013 audited financial statements.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City did not file audited financial statements or unaudited financial statements within six months of the end of the fiscal year. Subsequently, the City did not file its audited financial statements within one year following the end of the fiscal year. The audited financial statements were filed on November 27, 2018.

The City has taken steps to ensure that its annual financial information and audited financial statements will be filed in a timely manner in the future.

RATING

The City has applied to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") for a rating on the Bonds. Such application is pending at this time.

On May 4, 2018, Moody's affirmed the City's underlying credit rating of "Baa1" and assigned a negative outlook.

Such ratings reflect only the view of such rating agency and an explanation to the significance of such rating should be obtained from Moody's Investors Service. There can be no assurance that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of Moody's circumstances so warrant. Any downward change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds or the availability of a secondary market for the Bonds. See "FACTORS AFFECTING THE CITY AND THE BONDS" and "MARKET AND RISK FACTORS".

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, Great Neck, New York, (the "Municipal Advisor") is an independent municipal advisor registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities

Rulemaking Board. The Municipal Advisor has served as the independent financial advisor to the City in connection with this transaction.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Municipal Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement. The Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Municipal Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the City to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Municipal Advisor is not a law firm and does not provide legal advice with respect to this or any debt offerings of the City. The Municipal Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Bonds.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Any statements in the Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates whether or not expressly so stated are intended as such and not as representation of fact. No representation is made that of such statements will be realized. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the City and the original purchasers or holders of any of the Bonds.

Additional information and copies of the Official Statement may be obtained from the City or Capital Markets Advisors, LLC (CMA), 11 Grace Avenue, Suite 308, Great Neck, New York 11021, Telephone: (516) 487-9818.

CITY OF LONG BEACH
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK

By: _____
Erin D'Antonio
Acting City Comptroller

DATED: February __, 2018

APPENDIX A

THE CITY

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

THE CITY

General Information

The City is situated on the south shore of Long Island, in Nassau County, about 25 miles east of New York City. Incorporated in 1922, the City has a land area of approximately 2.1 square miles, and is bounded on the north by Reynolds Channel and on the south by the Atlantic Ocean. Reynolds Channel separates the City from the Long Island "mainland." Its elevation is at sea level. Summer temperatures average 13 degrees cooler than Manhattan and in the winter, 10 degrees warmer. The population, according to the 2016 U.S. Census is 33,550.

The population increases during the summer by an estimated 35,000 persons. Bus transportation to points in Nassau County is available along with transportation to New York City afforded by the Long Island Railroad, Long Beach Branch. A city-owned bus system provides local transportation.

Along the 3.5 mile ocean beach is a 50 foot wide boardwalk, 2.2 miles in length, which, though extensively damaged by Superstorm Sandy, was rebuilt within a year, by October 2013. The beach and boardwalk attract thousands of visitors each summer weekend, along with residents. The restoration of the boardwalk was substantially completed last November, and is now complete. At Kennedy Plaza, adjacent to City Hall, from June to September, a variety of events are staged, including shows, exhibits, parades, concerts and festivals. The municipal recreation center activities include carnivals, bowling, movies, arts and crafts, ping-pong, baton twirling and tournaments of various types. The City maintains an indoor swimming pool with steam room and exercise room facilities. The municipal fishing pier provides bay fishing for sportsmen. The City maintains an ice skating rink that offers free skates, lessons and leagues.

The Allard K. Lowenstein Memorial Library operates three branches and is a fully automated lending library with several hundred thousand volumes and an extensive periodical file. Diversified programs include film presentations, concerts, book discussions, lectures and seminars of community interest.

The Long Beach City School District serves the City, as well as areas outside the City limits. The School District maintains four elementary schools and a junior and senior high school. Several parochial and private nursery schools located in the City also educate local children.

Shopping facilities are available along Park Avenue, the principal east-west road artery. Recognizing that downtown expansion requires a joint effort by the public and private sector, the City of Long Beach utilized both sources to improve its central business district. Long Beach Plaza is located in the heart of the City's downtown and employs about 400 people.

The Plaza anchor store is a Stop and Shop supermarket and it also includes a banking facility and various specialty shops. The 81,000 sq. ft. of commercial space is accompanied by parking for 350 cars. Private funding of \$8,245,000 combined with a \$2,358,000 Urban Development Action Grant, accounted for the total construction cost.

The Long Beach Police Department is comprised of 68 officers and consists of a detective division, a traffic enforcement division, narcotics enforcement unit, street crimes unit, juvenile unit, bicycle patrol unit and a community policing program.

Three firehouses, 19 pieces of equipment, 18 paid firemen plus one commanding officer, 8 paid paramedics and about 160 volunteers provide community-wide fire and EMS services.

Electric and gas services are supplied by PSE&G. Water and sewer services are City functions. Superstorm Sandy temporarily disrupted water and sewer services, as well as LIPA's electrical service and the gas service of Brooklyn Union Gas. All utility services have been fully restored throughout the City, and many damaged structures have already been restored and rebuilt.

While the City suffered extensive damage from Superstorm Sandy, City officials do not project long-term negative impact from these damages on residential, commercial or utility properties within the City's boundaries. There is

extensive ongoing repair and rehabilitation taking place on properties of all types throughout the City, and there is little to indicate that the storm damage cannot be reversed over the next several years.

Form of Government

The chief executive officer of the City is the City Manager who is appointed by the City Council. The City Council members are elected for varying terms. Each term is staggered so that every two years three of the five members run. There is no limitation as to the number of terms which may be served by members of the City Council. The City Council members elect the President of the Council.

The City Manager appoints the City Clerk, the City Treasurer, the Assessor, the City Comptroller, the Corporation Counsel and the commissioners of Public Works and Buildings.

Financial Organization

Certain of the financial functions of the City are the responsibility of the City Manager and the City Comptroller. The chief fiscal officer of the City is the City Comptroller; however the City Manager is the budget officer of the City. The duties of the City Comptroller include the following:

1. a) To keep and supervise the books of general accounts of the City which books include a general journal, general ledger, commitment register, claim record, appropriation ledger, and bond ledger and such other books that from time to time may be found necessary to properly reflect the financial condition of the City.
 - b) To prescribe the form of receipts, vouchers, bills or claims to be filed by all departments, institutions, offices and agencies of the City government.
 - c) To examine and approve all contracts, purchase orders and other documents by which the City incurs financial obligations, having ascertained before approval that moneys have been duly appropriated and allotted to meet such obligations and will be available when such obligations shall become due and payable and to record such obligations as encumbrances of the respective appropriation from which such obligations are to be paid.
 - d) To audit and approve all bills, invoices, payrolls and other evidences of claims, demands or charges against the City and to determine the regularity, legality and correctness of the same.
 - e) To prepare and submit to the Council monthly statements of the financial condition of the City, annual reports to the State Comptroller and such other reports as may be required by the City Manager or the City Council. In order that such reports may be promptly prepared and submitted it is the duty of all officials and employees to keep all records current and to submit to the City Comptroller all statements, bank balances, bank reconciliation's and summaries kept by them daily, weekly or monthly, as required to properly prepare such reports.
 - f) To perform such other duties pertaining to the financial records of the City as may be directed by the City Council, the City Manager or by any law or by any fiscal officer of the State authorized to do so by law.
2. All books, papers, files or other records pertaining directly or indirectly to the finances of the City shall be in such form and kept in such places as to be readily accessible to the Comptroller for examination and audit.
 3. All officials and employees who are charged with the receipt or the disbursement of any city moneys shall keep a daily record or such receipts and disbursements in the form which shall be prescribed by the City Comptroller. They shall also keep such books, rolls and subsidiary ledgers as are prescribed by law or that may be prescribed by the City Comptroller for the purpose of having a control for accounts kept by the City Comptroller in the general books of the City. All officials or employees keeping such records are hereby required to balance such books, rolls and subsidiary ledgers periodically and in any event at least semi-annually and at such times as the Comptroller shall direct.

4. All officials and employees keeping records not directly dealing with receipts and disbursements but that may be used as a basis for determining amounts due or to become due the City or that may be the basis of claims against the City shall keep such records in the form prescribed by the City Comptroller. All time sheets, books and payroll records shall be kept in the form prescribed by the City Comptroller.
5. All employees of the City are charged with the duty of promptly preparing and submitting to the City Comptroller any statement or reports of information pertaining to any account book or record kept by them or in their department which may be required.
6. The Commissioner of Public Works is currently managing the City's interactions with FEMA, including filing necessary submissions and seeking accelerated reimbursements from moneys disbursed by FEMA to the State for the City's cleaning and rebuilding efforts. The City Comptroller's Office is actively overseeing these activities as it determines the timing of its expenses and formulates its capital planning.

Employees

The City currently has 334 full-time employees and approximately 1,100 part-time and seasonal employees. Police and Fire Department employees as well as general City employees are each represented by a collective bargaining agent. Those agents which represent full time employees and the dates of expiration of their agreements are as follows:

<u># of Employees</u>	<u>Bargaining Unit</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
216	Civil Service Employees Association	6/30/2025
67	Police Benevolent Association	6/30/2015 ⁽¹⁾
4	Commanding Offices Association	6/30/2008 ⁽¹⁾
28	United Fire Fighters Association	6/30/2010 ⁽¹⁾
19	Non-Union	N/A

(1) Contract in negotiation.

Employee Pension Benefits

Substantially all employees of the City are members of the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System ("ERS") or the New York State and Local Police and Fire Retirement System ("PFRS"). (Both systems are referred to together hereinafter as the "Retirement Systems" where appropriate). These Retirement Systems are cost-sharing multiple public employer retirement systems. The obligation of employers and employees to contribute and the benefits to employees are governed by the New York State Retirement System and Social Security Law (the "Retirement System Law"). The Retirement Systems offer a wide range of plans and benefits which are related to years of service and final average salary, vesting of retirement benefits, death and disability benefits and optional methods of benefit payments. All benefits generally vest after ten years of credited service. The Retirement System Law generally provides that all participating employers in each retirement system are jointly and severally liable for any unfunded amounts. Such amounts are collected through annual billings to all participating employers. Generally, all employees, except certain part-time employees, participate in the Retirement Systems. The Retirement Systems are non-contributory with respect to members hired prior to July 27, 1976. All members hired on or after July 27, 1976 through and including December 31, 2009, must contribute 3% of gross annual salary toward the cost of retirement programs during their first 10 years of service.

On December 10, 2009, then Governor Paterson signed into law a new Tier 5. The law is effective for new ERS employees hired after January 1, 2010. New ERS employees will now contribute 3% of their salaries and there is no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service.

On March 15, 2012, Governor Andrew Cuomo signed into law a new Tier 6. The law is effective for new ERS and PFRS employees hired on or after April 1, 2012. Among other provisions, the new tier increases employee contribution rates in a progressive fashion from 3% to 6% (depending on the level of salary); increases the retirement age from 62 to 63; vests after 10 years of service; includes an optional defined contribution plan for new non-union employees with salaries \$75,000 and above; and limits pension benefits for employees earning more than the Governor's salary.

With regard to the ERS, a pension reform bill, Chapter 49 of the Laws of 2003 changed the cycle of billing to match budget cycles of the City. Under the previous method, the City was unsure of how much it paid to the system until after its budget was implemented. Under the current system the contribution for a given fiscal year is based on the value of the pension fund on the prior April 1 instead of the following April 1 so that the City is able to more accurately include the cost of the contribution into its budget. Chapter 49 requires the City to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would make a lower contribution possible.

Due to significant capital market declines in the recent past, the State's Retirement System portfolio had experienced negative investment performance and severe downward trends in market earnings. As a result of the foregoing, employer contribution rates for the State's Retirement System have been higher than the minimum contribution rate established by Chapter 49. To mitigate the expected increases in the employer contribution rate, legislation has been enacted that would permit local governments and schools districts to borrow a portion of their required payments from the State pension plan at interest rates of 5%-7% percent. The legislation also authorizes local governments and school district to establish reserve accounts to fund future payment increases that are a result of fluctuations in pension plan performance. Future contribution rates will be affected by the investment performance of the ERS portfolio.

Payments by the City to the Retirement Systems for the past four years and current budget are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>ERS</u>	<u>PFRS</u>
2015*	\$3,008,169	\$4,224,408
2016	3,046,959	3,785,924
2017	3,404,069	3,812,306
2018	3,230,844	3,816,504
2019	3,142,775	3,716,527

*For 2015, the City took advantage of the amortization option offered by the pension system.

Other Post Employment Benefits

The City provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to various categories of former employees. These costs are expected to rise substantially in the future. A recently enacted accounting rule, GASB Statement No. 7 (“GASB 45”) of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (“GASB”), requires governmental entities, such as the City, to account for post-retirement healthcare benefits with respect to vested pension benefits. GASB 45 has become fully implemented for governmental entities, including the City.

Since the implementation of Chapter 729 of the Laws of 1994, School Districts and Boards of Cooperative Education Services, unlike other municipal units of government in the State, have been prohibited from reducing retiree health benefits or increasing health care contributions received or paid by retirees below the level of benefits or contributions afforded to or required from active employees. This protection from unilateral reduction of benefits had been extended annually by the New York State Legislature until recently when legislation was enacted to make permanent these health insurance benefit protections for retirees. Legislative attempts to provide similar protection to retirees of other local units of government in the State, including the City, have not succeeded as of the date hereof. Nevertheless, many such retirees of all varieties of municipal units in the State do presently receive such benefits.

GASB 45 and OPEB. OPEB generally refers to “other post-employment benefits,” meaning benefits other than pension benefits. OPEB consists primarily of health care benefits and may include other benefits such as disability benefits and life insurance. Until recently, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements.

GASB 45 requires municipalities and school districts to account for OPEB liabilities in the same manner as they already account for pension liabilities. It requires them to adopt the actuarial methodologies used for pensions, with adjustments for the different characteristics of OPEB and the fact that most municipalities and school districts have not set aside any funds against this liability. Unlike GASB 27, which covers accounting for pensions, GASB 45 does not require municipalities or school districts to report a net OPEB obligation at the start.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution (“ARC”) will be determined for each municipality or school district. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality or school district contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.

GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liability actually be amortized nor that it be advance funded, only that the municipality or school district account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC. The City hired an actuarial firm for the actuarial valuation and they calculated an ARC of \$12,326,423 and an unfunded actuarial accrued liability of \$136,842,046 for the year ended June 30, 2018. The City is in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45.

For fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017, the City is subject to GASB Statement No. 75 (“GASB 75”) which replaces GASB 45. GASB 75 requires state and local governments to account for and report their costs associated with post-retirement healthcare benefits and OPEB. GASB 75 generally requires that employers account for and report the annual cost of the OPEB and the outstanding obligations and commitments related to OPEB similarly to GASB Statement No. 68 reporting requirements for pensions.

GASB 75 requires state and local governments to measure a defined benefit OPEB plan as the portion of the present value of projected benefit payments to be provided to current active and inactive employees, attributable to past periods of service in order to calculate the total OPEB liability. Total OPEB liability generally is required to be determined through an actuarial valuation using a measurement date that is no earlier than the end of the employer’s prior fiscal year and no later than the end of the employer’s current fiscal year.

GASB 75 requires that most changes in the OPEB liability be included in OPEB expense in the period of the changes. Based on the results of an actuarial valuation, certain changes in the OPEB liability are required to be included in OPEB expense over current and future years.

Should the City be required to fund its unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability, it could have a material adverse impact upon the City’s finances and could force the City to reduce services, raise taxes or both. At the present time, however, there is no current or planned requirement for the City to partially fund its actuarial accrued OPEB liability. At this time, the State has not developed guidelines for the creation and use of irrevocable trusts for the funding of OPEB. As a result, the City has decided to continue funding the expenditure on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Actuarial valuation will be required every 2 years for OPEB plans with more than 200 members, every 3 years if there are less than 200 members.

Legislation has been introduced in the State Legislature to authorize local governments and other public entities to establish trusts to accumulate and disburse funds through governing board appropriation for payment of OPEB liabilities. This legislation would authorize the establishment of a trust by resolution of the local government’s governing board which would serve as the trustee (unless trustee authority is delegated to the local government’s chief fiscal officer). Trust investments would be held by the State Comptroller as sole custodian for investment in accordance with a written investment policy developed by the trustee and a written agreement between the trust and the State Comptroller. Trust funds would not be subject to local government creditor claims, and local government officers would not be subject to liability for loss on investments in the trust.

Recent Residential and Commercial Development

Prior to Superstorm Sandy, the City had begun to attract substantial residential and commercial development, drawn by its ocean side location and proximity to New York City. The City had commenced an effort to encourage the replacement of substandard housing and commercial structures with new luxury condominiums as well as one and two family dwellings.

Over the past five years, the commercial district has seen the influx of many new restaurants and food establishments.

There is a new 6-story, 18-unit condo building at 661 West Broadway under construction with completion expected in Spring 2019.

The “Super Block” Project received Zoning Approval for two fifteen story towers with 522 rental units and 11,000 square feet of retail/commercial space along our newly completed boardwalk. The estimated construction cost for this project is in excess of \$250 million. The foundation permit was issued in May 2015.

A new 8-unit multiple dwelling was recently completed at 848 East Broadway.

Construction on a new 10-story, 10-unit luxury condominium located at 50 West Broadway, with a restaurant at boardwalk level has begun, completion expected Summer 2019.

A new 23-unit rental building received zoning approval at 249 East Park Avenue with construction expected to be completed in Fall 2019.

A proposed new 126-unit multiple dwelling at 530 West Broadway is awaiting a Zoning Board approval.

A new 12-unit Homeowners Association at 561 W. Broadway has begun construction. Six (6) units have been completed with the remaining units expected to be completed in Summer 2019.

South Nassau Communities Hospital completed the expansion of their Urgent Care Center at 325 East Bay Drive into a Free Standing Emergency Department able to accept 9-1-1 ambulances. Also, South Nassau is moving forward with a plan to build a 15,000-square foot Medical Arts Pavilion within the City of Long Beach which is awaiting Zoning Approval. South Nassau also maintains a Family Practice Facility and a Cardiology Office within the City of Long Beach.

Since Superstorm Sandy, the City has issued approximately 12,387 Building Permits and has approximately 1,000 pending. These include permits to build new or elevate homes to FEMA regulations. The City expects another 200-300 over the next few years for new or elevated homes. The assessed valuation of the City’s property will increase due to these homes becoming FEMA compliant.

FINANCIAL FACTORS

Budgetary Procedures

The City Manager (acting in his capacity as Budget Officer) prepares a tentative budget each year which is submitted to the City Council on or before April 10. After being reviewed by the City Council, public hearings on the budget are held. Subsequent to the public hearing, revisions (if any) are made to the budget. No later than the last day of May, the City Council adopts it as its final budget for the coming fiscal year. The budget is not subject to referendum, but is subject to the provisions of Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011.

Financial Statements

The City has retained independent certified public accountants to audit its financial affairs. The last audit covers the year ending June 30, 2017. The 2017 Audit was prepared in compliance with GASB 34. In addition, the financial affairs of the City are subject to periodic review by the State Comptroller.

The accounting policies of the City conform to generally accepted accounting principles as they are applicable to governments. The Government Accounting Standards Board is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

A summary of Revenues, Expenditures and Fund Balance, Budget Results and Balance Sheets for the City are included as Appendix B.

Statutes Governing City's Investment Policy

Pursuant to the statutes of the State of New York, the City is permitted to invest only in the following investments: (1) special time deposits in, or certificates of deposits issued by, a bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York; (2) obligations of the United State of America; (3) obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States of America where the payment of principal and interest is guaranteed by the United States of America; (4) obligations of the State of New York; (5) with the approval of the New York State Comptroller, tax anticipation notes and revenue anticipation notes issued by any New York municipality or district corporation, other than the City; (6) obligations of New York public benefit corporations which are made lawful investments in which the City may invest pursuant to another provision of law; (7) certain certificates of participation issued on behalf of political subdivisions of the State of New York; and, (8) in the case of City moneys held in certain reserve funds established pursuant to law, obligations issued by the City. These statutes further require that all bank deposits, in excess of the amount insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, be secured by a pledge of eligible securities, as that term is defined in the law.

The City has adopted an investment policy which states that the City will comply with the requirements of New York State statutes, as stated above, concerning the investment of City monies.

Revenues

Property Taxes. The City derives a major portion of its General Fund revenues from a tax on real property (see "*Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance*" in Appendix B, herein). Based on *preliminary, unaudited results, subject to change*, property taxes accounted for approximately 50.2% of total General Fund revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

The following table sets forth total General Fund revenues and real property taxes during each of the last five audited fiscal years, the most recent unaudited fiscal year and the budgeted amount for the current fiscal year.

<u>Property Tax Revenues</u>			
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Revenues</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes to Revenues</u>
2013	\$63,460,670	\$33,164,391	52.3%
2014	65,800,710	33,533,614	51.0
2015	70,572,463	33,099,126	46.9
2016	71,118,801	34,170,461	48.0
2017	75,050,224	36,198,190	48.2
2018 (Unaudited)	73,705,800	37,028,234	50.2
2019 (Adopted Budget)	82,397,745	41,002,237	49.8

Source: Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements and Adopted Budget of the City.

State Aid

The City receives financial assistance from the State. In its budget for the 2017-2018 fiscal year, approximately 7.6% of the revenues of the City are estimated to be received in the form of State aid, not including any Sandy reimbursements. If the State should experience difficulty in borrowing funds in anticipation of the receipt of State taxes in order to pay State aid to municipalities and school districts in the State, including the City, in any year, the City may be affected by a delay in the receipt of State aid until sufficient State taxes have been received by the State to make State aid payments. Additionally, if the State should not adopt its budget in a timely manner, municipalities and school districts in the State, including the City, may be affected by a delay in the payment of State aid.

The State is not constitutionally obligated to maintain or continue State aid to the City. No assurance can be given that present State aid levels will be maintained in the future. State budgetary restrictions which eliminate or substantially reduce State aid could have a material adverse effect upon the City requiring either a counterbalancing

increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available, or a curtailment of expenditures (see also “Market and Risk Factors”).

While the City has received State aid in recent years, both the determination of the amount of State aid and the apportionment of State aid are legislative acts and the State Legislature may amend or repeal the statutes relating to State aid and the formulas which determine the amount of State aid payable to the City. The current or future financial condition of the State may affect the amount of State aid appropriated by the State Legislature.

Reimbursements of submitted expenses through FEMA are paid to the State, which is responsible for the ultimate disbursement of funds to the City. The State has declared its intention of disbursing such funds as quickly as possible.

The following table sets forth total General Fund revenues and State aid during each of the last five audited fiscal years, the most recent unaudited fiscal year and the budgeted amount for the current fiscal year.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>State Aid</u>	
	<u>Total Revenues</u>	<u>State Aid to Revenues</u>
2013	\$63,460,670	10.2%
2014	65,800,710	8.4
2015	70,572,463	8.6
2016	71,118,801	9.1
2017	75,050,224	11.6
2018 (Unaudited)	73,705,800	9.1
2019 (Adopted Budget)	82,397,745	7.2

Source: Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements and Adopted Budget of the City.

Results of Operations and Deficits

2012-2013 Fiscal Year

According to the audited financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the City ended said fiscal year with a General Fund surplus of \$851,163, a Water Fund deficit of (\$231,322), and a Sewer Fund deficit of (\$2,055,985).

2013-2014 Fiscal Year

According to the audited financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the City ended with a General Fund surplus of \$9,928,964, a Water Fund surplus of \$1,870,469 and a Sewer Fund surplus of \$209,034.

2014-2015 Fiscal Year

According to the audited financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City ended with a General Fund surplus of \$9,134,864, a Water Fund surplus of \$1,843,474 and a Sewer Fund surplus of \$262,748.

2015-2016 Fiscal Year

According to the audited financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City ended with a General Fund surplus of \$7,548,348, a Water Fund surplus of \$1,632,304 and a Sewer Fund surplus of \$491,947.

2016-2017 Fiscal Year

According to the audited financial statements of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City ended with a General Fund surplus of \$8,364,799, a Water Fund surplus of \$1,334,891 and a Sewer Fund surplus of \$593,340.

2017-2018 Fiscal Year

According to the *preliminary, unaudited financial statements, subject to change*, of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the City ended with a General Fund surplus of \$1,890,205, a Water Fund surplus of \$975,555 and a Sewer Fund surplus of \$359,751.

2018-2019 Adopted Budget

On May 31, 2018, the City Council adopted a budget that includes a City property tax increase of 10.26%. The tax rate pierces the statutory real property tax levy limitation. The 2018-2019 budget is balanced.

Long Term Fiscal Recovery Plan

Based on completion of the crisis management tasks assigned by the City Council, on September 3, 2013 the City Council declared an end to the fiscal crisis. The City's finances are currently in a long-term recovery phase, after implementation of a recovery plan based on difficult, but necessary, policy and operational decisions. The Long Term Fiscal Recovery Plan will continue to fundamentally change the culture and operation of the City's government.

Corrective Actions Taken:

- The City has managed to rebuild and increase unassigned fund balance across all funds from a \$14.7 million deficit at June 30, 2012 to \$11.2 million unassigned fund balance at June 30, 2016, a \$25.9 million turnaround. This increase is primarily attributed to the inherited deficit financing, the inherited deficit surcharge (which was retired one year early) and managing discretionary spending.
- Rightsizing the workforce, reducing headcount by 11% to the lowest levels in over a decade, reducing labor and personnel costs from 83% of the budget to 71%.
- A 7.9% property tax increase for the General Fund was included in the 2012-2013 Adopted Budget and a 1.49% additional property tax increase was included in the 2013-2014 Adopted Budget. Due to the early retirement of the \$1,875,000 annual surcharge, property taxes decreased by 1.19% for the 2014-2015 Adopted Budget. A 3.18% property tax increase for the General Fund was included in the 2015-2016 Adopted Budget. However, as a result of the City's compliance with the NYS tax cap, qualifying homeowners received a 100% reimbursement for that year's tax increase. A 6.28% property tax increase for the General Fund was included in the 2016-2017 Adopted Budget. Of the increase, 4.34% was to cover the debt service on the Superblock litigation settlement. A 2.51% property tax increase for the General Fund was included in the 2017-2018 Adopted Budget and complies with the NYS tax cap. The City has budgeted a 10.26% property tax rate increase for the 2018-2019 fiscal year.
- Water and Sewer rate increases of 4% were approved for the 2016-2017 budget year. Additionally, the sewer charges were increased to 108% of water charges. Rate increases of 1% were approved for the 2017-2018 budget year and sewer charges were increased to 115% of water charges. For the 2018-2019 budget year, sewer charges have increased to 120% of water charges.

Deficit Financing Legislation

The City Council adopted a home rule resolution requesting that the State Legislature enact legislation authorizing the City to issue bonds pursuant to section 10.10 of the Local Finance Law in an aggregate amount not to exceed fifteen million (\$15,000,000) dollars to finance the City's accumulated General, Water, and Sewer Fund deficits as of June 30, 2012. (See "*Results of Operations and Deficits*", herein). The legislation authorized the City to issue bond anticipation notes to provide interim deficit financing, and to issue bonds to redeem such notes in a principal amount not exceeding the amount of such deficits certified by the State Comptroller. On June 17, 2013, the New York State Legislature approved the legislation, however it was vetoed by the Governor due to a typographical error.

Corrected legislation was reintroduced in both chambers of the State Legislature and was approved by both chambers and signed by the Governor on February 21, 2014 as Chapter 3 of the Laws of 2014 ("Chapter 3"). Chapter 3

authorized the City to issue serial bonds for two purposes: (1) to finance certain extraordinary expenses resulting from Superstorm Sandy; and (2) to finance, subject to the provisions of section 10.10 of the Local Finance Law, accumulated deficit in the City's general fund, sewer fund, water fund and risk management fund as of June 30, 2012 provided that the bonds issued for this purpose must be issued on or before June 30, 2015 in an aggregate par amount not to exceed \$12,000,000.

Pursuant to Chapter 3, the City is subject to certain requirements and procedures pursuant to Section 10.10 of the Local Finance Law ("Section 10.10") which states that the City may not issue any bonds for the purpose of liquidating such deficits until the amounts of such deficits are confirmed and certified by the State Comptroller. On April 4, 2014, the Office of the State Comptroller certified the City's deficit in the amount of \$13,871,017 as of June 30, 2012. The City issued \$8,200,000 deficit bonds on June 27, 2014 pursuant to Chapter 3 and Section 10.10 for the purpose of liquidating an \$8,200,000 portion of the accumulated deficit.

Upon the issuance of the deficit bonds, the City Manager must submit to the State Comptroller each year, starting with the 2014-2015 fiscal year and for each subsequent fiscal year during which the deficit bonds are outstanding, the tentative or preliminary budget for the succeeding fiscal year. The State Comptroller must examine the proposed budget and make such recommendations as deemed appropriate thereon. Such recommendations shall be made after the examination into the estimates of revenues and expenditures of the City. Pursuant to Section 10.10, the City Council, no later than five days prior to the adoption of the budget, shall review any such recommendations made by the State Comptroller and may make adjustments to its proposed budget consistent with those recommendations. Any recommendations that the City Council rejects shall be explained in writing to the State Comptroller, provided however, that the City may not issue bonds for any object or purpose unless and until adjustments to its proposed budget consistent with any recommendations made by the State Comptroller or any such recommendations that are rejected have been explained in writing to the State Comptroller.

For each fiscal year that the deficit bonds are outstanding, the City Comptroller shall monitor budgets of the City and for each budget, must prepare a quarterly report of summarized budget data depicting trends of actual revenues and budget expenditures for the entire budget. Such budgetary reports must compare revenue estimates and appropriations as set forth in the budget with actual revenues and expenditures received and incurred to date. The report must also contain a corrective action plan to address any unfavorable budget variances. All reports must be completed within thirty (30) days after the end of each quarter. The City Comptroller shall also prepare, as part of such report, a quarterly trial balance of general ledger accounts. The above quarterly budgetary reports and quarterly trial balances shall be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These reports must be submitted at the end of each quarter to each member of the City Council and the City Manager, the Director of the New York State Division of Budget, the State Comptroller and the Chairs of the Senate Finance Committee and the Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

Beginning with the 2013-2014 fiscal year and for each fiscal year occurring during the time the deficit bonds are outstanding, within thirty days after final adoption of the budget for the next succeeding fiscal year, the City Manager must prepare a three (3) year financial plan covering the next succeeding fiscal year and the two fiscal years thereafter. The financial plan must contain the information required by paragraph (e) of Section 10.10 and must be submitted to the City Comptroller, the Director of the New York State Division of Budget, the State Comptroller and the Chairs of the Senate Finance Committee and the Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

Beginning with the 2013-2014 fiscal year and for each fiscal year occurring during the time the deficit bonds are outstanding, the City Comptroller must notify the State Comptroller at least fifteen (15) days prior to the issuance of any bonds or notes or entering into any installment purchase contract by the City and the State Comptroller may review and make recommendations regarding the affordability to the City of any such proposed issuance or contract.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

TAX INFORMATION

Real Estate Tax Levying Limitation

The City is responsible for levying taxes for City purposes. The City's real property tax levying powers, other than for debt service and certain other enumerated purposes, are limited by the State Constitution to two percent of the five-year average full valuation of taxable real property of the City.

The following table sets forth the computation of the City's real estate tax levying limitation and the determination of its tax margin under the State Constitution.

Real Property Tax Assessment and Rates

Fiscal Year Ending <u>December 31:</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	State Equalization <u>Ratio</u>	<u>Full Valuation</u>
2015	\$ 203,216,450	4.00%	\$ 5,080,411,250
2016	203,211,212	4.25	4,781,440,282
2017	205,818,171	4.15	4,959,474,000
2018	206,751,598	4.21	5,168,789,950
2019	209,417,015	3.75	5,584,453,733
Total Five-Year Full Valuation			<u>\$25,574,569,215</u>
Five-Year Average Full Valuation			5,114,913,843
2% of Five-Year Average Full Valuation			<u>102,298,277</u>
Total Tax Levy – General City Purposes			41,002,237
Less: Total Exclusions			22,857,583
Tax Levy Subject to Tax Limit			<u>18,144,654</u>
Constitutional Tax Margin			<u>\$84,153,623</u>
Percentage of Tax Limit Exhausted			<u>17.74%</u>

Sources: State Board of Real Property Services and the City of Long Beach Controller's Office.

Tax Levy Limitation Law

On June 24, 2011, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 was signed into law by the Governor (the “Tax Levy Limitation Law”). The Tax Levy Limitation Law applies to all local governments, including school districts (with the exception of the city school districts of Yonkers, Syracuse, Rochester, Buffalo and New York City). It also applies to independent special districts and to town and county improvement districts as part of their parent municipalities’ tax levies.

The Tax Levy Limitation Law restricts, among other things, the amount of real property taxes (including assessments of certain special improvement districts) that may be levied by or on behalf of a municipality in a particular year, beginning with fiscal years commencing on or after January 1, 2012. It expires on June 16, 2020 unless extended. Pursuant to the Tax Levy Limitation Law, the tax levy of a municipality cannot increase by more than the lesser of (i) two percent (2%) or (ii) the annual increase in the consumer price index (“CPI”), over the amount of the prior year’s tax levy. Certain adjustments would be permitted for taxable real property full valuation increases or changes in physical or quantity growth in the real property base as defined in Section 1220 of the Real Property Tax Law. A municipality may exceed the tax levy limitation for the coming fiscal year only if the governing body of such municipality first enacts, by at least a sixty percent vote of the total voting strength of the board, a local law (resolution in the case of fire districts and certain special districts) to override such limitation for such coming fiscal year only.

There are permissible exceptions to the tax levy limitation provided in the Tax Levy Limitation Law, including expenditures made on account of certain tort settlements and certain increases in the average actuarial contribution rates of the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System, the Police and Fire Retirement System, and the Teachers' Retirement System. Municipalities are also permitted to carry forward a certain portion of their unused levy limitation from a prior year. Each municipality prior to adoption of its fiscal year budget must submit for review to the State Comptroller any information that is necessary in the calculation of its tax levy for such fiscal year.

The Tax Levy Limitation Law does not contain an exception from the levy limitation for the payment of debt service on either outstanding general obligation debt of municipalities or such debt incurred after the effective date of the Tax Levy Limitation Law (June 24, 2011).

Article 8 Section 2 of the State Constitution requires every issuer of general obligation notes and bonds in the State to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. This has been interpreted by the Court of Appeals, the State's highest court, in Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York, 40 N.Y.2d 731 (1976), as follows:

"A pledge of the city's faith and credit is both a commitment to pay and a commitment of the city's revenue generating powers to produce the funds to pay. Hence, an obligation containing a pledge of the City's "faith and credit" is secured by a promise both to pay and to use in good faith the city's general revenue powers to produce sufficient funds to pay the principal and interest of the obligation as it becomes due. That is why both words, "faith" and "credit", are used and they are not tautological. That is what the words say and that is what courts have held they mean."

Article 8 Section 12 of the State Constitution specifically provides as follows:

"It shall be the duty of the legislature, subject to the provisions of this constitution, to restrict the power of taxation, assessment, borrowing money, contracting indebtedness, and loaning the credit of counties, cities, towns and villages, so as to prevent abuses in taxation and assessments and in contracting of indebtedness by them. Nothing in this article shall be construed to prevent the legislature from further restricting the powers herein specified of any county, city, town, village or school district to contract indebtedness or to levy taxes on real estate. The legislature shall not, however, restrict the power to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted."

On the relationship of the Article 8 Section 2 requirement to pledge the faith and credit and the Article 8 Section 12 protection of the levy of real property taxes to pay debt service on bonds subject to the general obligation pledge, the Court of Appeals in the Flushing National Bank case stated:

"So, too, although the Legislature is given the duty to restrict municipalities in order to prevent abuses in taxation, assessment, and in contracting of indebtedness, it may not constrict the city's power to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness previously contracted....While phrased in permissive language, these provisions, when read together with the requirement of the pledge of faith and credit, express a constitutional imperative: debt obligations must be paid, even if tax limits be exceeded".

In addition, the Court of Appeals in the Flushing National Bank case has held that the payment of debt service on outstanding general obligation bonds and notes takes precedence over fiscal emergencies and the police power of municipalities.

Therefore, while the Tax Levy Limitation Law may constrict an issuer's power to levy real property taxes for the payment of debt service on debt contracted after the effective date of the Tax Levy Limitation Law, it is clear that no statute is able (1) to limit an issuer's pledge of its faith and credit to the payment of any of its general obligation indebtedness or (2) to limit an issuer's levy of real property taxes to pay debt service on general obligation debt contracted prior to the effective date of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. Whether the Constitution grants a municipality authority to treat debt service payments as a constitutional exception to such statutory tax levy limitation is not clear.

It is possible that the Tax Levy Limitation Law will be subject to judicial review to resolve the constitutional issues raised by its adoption. Although courts in New York have historically been protective of the rights of holders of general obligation debt of political subdivisions, the outcome of any such legal challenge cannot be predicted.

Valuations and Tax Data

The table below shows the trend during each of last five years for taxable assessed valuations, State equalization rates, full valuations, real property tax levies and real property tax rates.

	<u>Assessed Valuations</u>				
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>
Assessed Value	\$203,216,450	\$203,211,212	\$205,818,171	\$206,751,598	\$209,417,015
Equal. Ratio	4.00%	4.25%	4.15%	4.00%	3.75%
Full Value	5,080,411,250	4,781,440,282	4,959,474,000	5,168,789,950	5,584,453,733
Tax Levy	32,617,942	33,655,110	35,750,178	36,656,403	40,365,295
Tax Rate ⁽¹⁾	\$160.51	\$165.62	\$173.70	\$177.30	\$192.75

(1) Per \$1,000 assessed value.

Source: New York State Office of Real Property Services and City officials.

Real Property Tax Collection Procedure

City taxes are due 50% on July 1st and 50% on January 1st. If not paid by July 31st and January 31st, a 1-1/2% per month penalty is added. Tax bills of \$6,000 or more are due in full in July.

The City collects its own taxes and is responsible for the collection of its own delinquent taxes. Tax sales are held annually.

Real Property Tax Collection Record

<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Ended June 30:</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Tax Levy</u>	<u>Current Taxes</u> <u>Uncollected</u>	<u>Percentage Current</u> <u>Taxes Uncollected</u>
2014	\$33,038,170	\$240,839	0.73%
2015	32,617,942	174,123	0.53
2016	33,655,110	178,378	0.53
2017	35,750,178	152,843	0.43
2018	36,693,869	167,433	0.45

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Ten Largest Taxpayers

The following table presents the assessments of the City's ten largest taxpayers for the 2019 assessment roll.

<u>Taxable Assessments</u> ⁽¹⁾			
<u>Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total Assessed Valuation</u>
Keyspan Gas East Corp	Utility	\$ 1,787,227	0.85%
DBA National Grid LIPA/PSEG	Utility	1,675,980	0.80
SF IV LBH LLC	Hotel	1,180,370	0.56
Lafayette Apts	Apartments	1,054,600	0.50
Shore Rd LB Superblock	Vacant Land	1,000,000	0.48
552 Shore Road Owners Corp	Cooperative Apartments	966,428	0.46
Avalon Bay Communities	Apartments	950,000	0.45
Walton Stuart	Apartments	875,000	0.42
430-450 Shore Road Corp	Cooperative Apartments	860,888	0.41
NH National Blvd LLC	Nursing Home	<u>784,000</u>	<u>0.37</u>
Total:		<u>\$11,134,493</u>	<u>5.30%</u>

(1) The City's total taxable assessed value for the 2019 fiscal year is \$209,417,015.

Sales and Compensating Use Taxes

Section 1210 of the New York Tax Law (the “Tax Law”) authorizes counties to levy sales and compensating use taxes of up to 3% in addition to the 4% sales tax levied by the State (certain counties have received approval by the State Legislature to impose a sales and compensating use tax of greater than 3%). Sales and compensating use taxes are collected by the State and distributed to counties and municipalities of the State on a monthly basis.

For each of the last five fiscal years and the most recent budget, the sales and compensating use tax collections recorded by the City are as follows:

Year Ending <u>June 30</u>	Amount <u>Collected</u>
2014	\$3,360,260
2015	3,779,782
2016	3,801,681
2017	4,091,170
2018	4,178,455
2019 (Budgeted)	4,135,000

CITY INDEBTEDNESS

The State Constitution and Local Finance Law limit the power of the City (and other municipalities and certain school districts of the State) to issue obligations and to otherwise contract indebtedness. Such constitutional and statutory limitations in summary form, and as generally applicable to the City and the Bonds, include the following:

Constitutional Requirements

Purpose and Pledge. Subject to certain enumerated exceptions, the City shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The City may contract indebtedness only for a City purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest thereon.

Payment and Maturity. Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes or to be paid within three fiscal year periods, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the expiration of the period of probable usefulness of the object or purpose determined by statute and, unless substantially level or declining annual debt service is utilized, no installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment. The City is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on its indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds or such required annual installments on its notes.

Debt Limit. The City has the power to contract indebtedness for any City purpose so long as the principal amount thereof, subject to certain limited exceptions, shall not exceed seven per centum of the average full valuation of taxable real property of the City and subject to certain enumerated exclusions and deductions such as water and certain sewer facilities and cash or appropriations for current debt service. The constitutional method for determining full valuation is by taking the assessed valuation of taxable real property as shown upon the latest completed assessment roll and dividing the same by the equalization rate as determined by the State Office of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined. Average full valuation is determined by taking the sum of the full valuation of the last completed assessment roll and the four preceding assessment rolls and dividing such sum by five.

Statutory Procedure

In general, the State Legislature has authorized the power and procedure for the City to borrow and incur indebtedness by the enactment of the Local Finance Law subject, to the constitutional constraints set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including specifically the General City Law and the General Municipal Law.

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the City authorizes the issuance of bonds by the adoption of a bond ordinance approved by at least two-thirds of the members of the City Council, the finance board of the City. Customarily, the City Council has delegated to the City Comptroller, as chief fiscal officer of the City, the power to authorize and sell bond anticipation notes in anticipation of authorized bonds.

The Local Finance Law also provides that where a bond resolution is published with a statutory form of notice, the validity of the bonds authorized thereby, including bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of the sale thereof, may be contested only if:

- (1) Such obligations are authorized for a purpose for which the City is not authorized to expend money, or
- (2) There has not been substantial compliance with the provisions of law which should have been complied with in the authorization of such obligations and an action contesting such validity is commenced within twenty days after the date of such publication, or
- (3) Such obligations are authorized in violation of the provisions of the constitution.

Except on rare occasions the City does not issue its authorized bonds or notes until this estoppel period has passed. It is a procedure that is recommended by Bond Counsel, but it is not an absolute legal requirement.

Each bond ordinance usually authorizes the construction, acquisition or installation of the object or purpose to be financed, sets forth the plan of financing and specifies the maximum maturity of the bonds subject to the legal (Constitution, Local Finance Law and case law) restrictions relating to the period of probable usefulness with respect thereto.

The City Council, as the finance board of the City, has the power to enact bond ordinances. In addition, such finance board has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of obligations. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell the obligations to the City Comptroller, the chief fiscal officer of the City, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

Statutory law in New York permits bond anticipation notes to be renewed each year provided annual principal installments are made in reduction of the total amount of such notes outstanding, commencing no later than two years from the date of the first of such notes and provided that such renewals do not exceed five years beyond the original date of borrowing. (See "Payment and Maturity" under "Constitutional Requirements" herein, and "Details of Outstanding Indebtedness" herein).

In general, the Local Finance Law contains provisions providing the City with power to issue certain other short-term general obligation indebtedness including revenue and tax anticipation notes, deficiency notes and budget notes (see "City Indebtedness" herein).

Trend of Outstanding Indebtedness

The following table sets forth the amount of indebtedness outstanding for the last five fiscal years.

	<u>Indebtedness Outstanding</u>				
	(Fiscal year ended June 30:)				
Year Ending June 30:	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018⁽¹⁾</u>
Serial Bonds	\$ 59,729,000	\$ 61,525,002	\$ 71,481,514	\$ 71,197,501	\$ 89,735,873
Bond Anticipation Notes	6,500,000	19,792,000	24,467,000	27,509,870	20,156,000
Budget Notes	0	0	0	0	0
Revenue Anticipation Notes	38,100,000	25,000,000	14,430,000	13,250,000	0
Tax Anticipation Notes	0	0	0	4,200,000	4,000,000
Installment Purchase Debt	<u>878,916</u>	<u>2,634,761</u>	<u>2,313,765</u>	<u>2,995,305</u>	<u>2,592,532</u>
Total Outstanding	<u>\$105,207,916</u>	<u>\$108,951,763</u>	<u>\$112,692,279</u>	<u>\$119,152,676</u>	<u>\$116,484,405</u>

(1) Unaudited.

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the City. Summary itself is not audited.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Constitutional Debt-Contracting Limitation

The following table sets forth the current debt-contracting limitation of the Village.

Debt Contracting Limitation

Fiscal Year Ended <u>June 30</u>	Assessed <u>Valuation</u>	State Equalization <u>Ratio⁽¹⁾</u>	Full <u>Valuation</u>
2015	\$ 203,216,450	4.00%	\$ 5,080,411,250
2016	203,211,212	4.25	4,781,440,282
2017	205,818,171	4.15	4,959,474,000
2018	206,751,598	4.00	5,168,789,950
2019	209,417,015	3.75	<u>5,584,453,733</u>
Total Five-Year Full Valuation			<u>\$ 25,574,569,215</u>
Average Five-Year Full Valuation			5,114,913,843
Debt Contracting Limitation - 7% of Average Full Valuation			<u>\$ 358,043,969</u>

(1) Equalization rates are established by the New York State Office of Real Property Services and the State Comptroller's Office.

Source: New York State Office of Real Property Services.

Debt Statement Summary

Summary of indebtedness, debt limit and net debt-contracting margin as of February 14, 2019.

Five Year Average Full Valuation of Taxable Real Property.....	<u>\$5,114,913,843</u>
Debt Limit – 7% thereof.....	358,043,969
 <u>Inclusions:</u>	
Bonds.....	\$83,258,002
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	26,654,389
Revenue Anticipation Notes.....	0
Tax Anticipation Notes.....	4,000,000
 Total Inclusions	 <u>\$113,912,391</u>
 <u>Exclusions:</u>	
Revenue Anticipation Notes.....	\$ 0
Tax Anticipation Notes.....	4,000,000
Water Debt.....	13,085,379
Sewer Debt.....	3,289,529
Appropriations.....	1,992,675
 Total Exclusions	 <u>\$22,367,583</u>
 Total Net Indebtedness.....	 <u>91,544,808</u>
Net Debt-Contracting Margin.....	<u>\$ 266,499,161</u>

The percent of debt contracting power exhausted 25.57%.

Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness

The real property taxpayers of the City are responsible for a proportionate share of outstanding debt obligations of the County and the City School District of the City of Long Beach. Such taxpayers' share of this overlapping debt is based upon the amount of the City's equalized property values taken as a percentage of each separate unit's total values. The table below sets forth both the total outstanding principal amount of debt issued by the City and the approximate magnitude of the burden on taxable property in the City of the debt instruments issued and outstanding by such other political units. Authorized but unissued debt has not been included.

Statement of Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness

Gross Direct Indebtedness	\$113,912,391
Exclusions and Deductions	<u>22,367,583</u>
Net Direct Indebtedness	<u>\$ 91,544,808</u>

Overlapping Debt

<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Outstanding</u>	<u>As of</u>	<u>Share</u>	<u>Amount Applicable to City</u>
Nassau County	\$3,280,048,000	10/31/18	2.33%	\$ 76,425,118
Long Beach City School District	60,455,000	6/30/18	85.30%	<u>51,568,115</u>
Total Net Overlapping Debt				\$127,993,233
Total Net Direct Debt				<u>91,544,808</u>
Total Net Direct and Overlapping Debt				<u>\$219,538,041</u>

Sources: Data provided by City, County, and District Officials.

Debt Ratios

The following table presents certain debt ratios relating to the City's net direct and overlapping indebtedness.

Debt Ratios

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Debt Per Capita⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Debt to Full Value⁽²⁾</u>
Net Direct Indebtedness	\$ 91,544,808	\$2,729	1.64%
Net Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness	219,538,041	6,544	3.93

(1) The population of the City is estimated at 33,550.

(2) The City's full value of taxable real property for fiscal year 2019 is \$5,584,453,733.

Authorized but Unissued Items

Following the issuance of the Bonds, the City will have \$5,418,302 in debt for capital projects, \$8,685,000 for unreimbursed Sandy expenditures, \$3,405,000 in litigation costs, \$2,600,000 for tax anticipation notes and \$1,803,000 for employee separation pay authorized but unissued.

Debt Service Schedule

The following table sets forth all principal and interest payments required on the outstanding bonded indebtedness of the City, exclusive of economically defeased obligations and lease purchase obligations.

Bond Principal and Interest Maturity

Fiscal Year			Total
<u>Ending June 30:</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>
2019 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,557,871	\$ 2,729,313	\$ 11,287,184
2020	8,140,000	2,433,850	10,573,850
2021	7,893,000	2,200,613	10,093,614
2022	7,715,000	1,975,913	9,690,913
2023	7,435,000	1,736,369	9,171,369
2024	7,700,000	1,502,594	9,202,594
2025	6,875,000	1,275,444	8,150,444
2026	6,430,000	1,065,669	7,495,669
2027	6,650,000	856,944	7,506,944
2028	5,340,000	670,219	6,010,219
2029	5,510,000	505,019	6,015,019
2030	5,120,000	332,856	5,452,857
2031	4,105,000	165,681	4,270,680
2032	420,000	77,681	497,681
2033	435,000	64,031	499,031
2034	450,000	49,350	499,350
2035	470,000	33,600	503,600
2036	<u>490,000</u>	<u>17,150</u>	<u>507,150</u>
Totals:	<u>\$89,735,871</u>	<u>\$17,692,294</u>	<u>\$107,428,165</u>

(1) For the entire fiscal year.

Source: City Officials.

Installment Purchase Debt

The following table sets forth all principal and interest payments required on the outstanding lease purchase obligations of the City.

Lease Purchase Obligations Principal and Interest Maturity

Fiscal Year			Total Annual
<u>Ending December 31:</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>
2019 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 417,539	\$ 71,256	\$ 488,795
2020	432,947	56,812	489,759
2021-2027	<u>1,742,046</u>	<u>128,361</u>	<u>1,870,407</u>
Totals:	<u>\$2,592,532</u>	<u>\$256,429</u>	<u>\$2,848,961</u>

(1) For the entire fiscal year.

Source: Audited Financial Statements.

ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

Population Trends

<u>Year</u>	<u>City of Long Beach</u>	<u>County of Nassau</u>	<u>State of New York</u>
1970	33,127	1,428,838	18,241,366
1980	34,073	1,321,582	17,557,288
1990	33,510	1,287,348	17,990,455
2000	35,462	1,334,544	18,976,457
2010	33,275	1,339,532	19,378,102
2016	33,550	1,361,350	19,842,724

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census

Selected Listing of Major Employers

<u>Name</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Approximate Number of Employees</u>
Long Beach City School District	Education	1,000
City of Long Beach	Municipality	600
Lancer Insurance Company	Insurance	406
Chem RX	Pharmacy - wholesale	360
National Boulevard Assisted Care Facility	Health Care	300
Long Beach Grandell	Health Care	250
Beach Terrace Care Center	Health Care	150
Stop and Shop	Food Chain	131
Hebrew Academy of Long Beach	Education	110

Source: City of Long Beach

Unemployment Rate Statistics

	<u>Civilian Labor Force</u>				
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>
City	19,400	19,700	19,800	19,900	20,000
County	688,800	701,600	704,600	708,000	710,900
State	9,591,300	9,644,600	9,668,700	9,704,700	9,717,600

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

Yearly Average Unemployment Rates

<u>Year</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
2014	4.7%	4.8%	6.3%
2015	4.1	4.2	5.3
2016	3.6	3.9	4.8
2017	3.8	4.1	4.7
2018	3.4	3.7	4.2

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

Monthly Unemployment Rates

<u>Month</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
January 2018	4.0%	4.5%	5.1%
February	4.1	4.7	5.1
March	3.9	4.2	4.8
April	3.6	3.8	4.3
May	3.3	3.4	3.7
June	3.6	3.7	4.2
July	3.2	3.7	4.2
August	3.2	3.7	4.1
September	3.2	3.3	3.8
October	2.9	3.1	3.6
November	2.9	2.9	3.5
December	2.9	3.0	3.8

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Division of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

Other Information

The statutory authority for the power to spend money for the objects or purposes, or to accomplish the objects or purposes, for which the Bonds are to be issued is the City Law and the Local Finance Law.

Except to the extent shown in “Estimated Overlapping Indebtedness,” this Official Statement does not include the financial data of any political subdivision having power to levy taxes within the City.

No principal or interest upon any obligation of the City is past due.

The fiscal year of the City is July 1 to June 30.

End of Appendix A

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

CITY OF LONG BEACH
 Adopted Budgets - General Fund
 Fiscal Year Ending June 30:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>
Revenues:		
Real Property Taxes	\$ 37,186,369	\$ 41,002,237
Non-Property Tax	5,620,000	5,535,000
Departmental Income	21,644,051	21,407,226
Intergovernmental Charges	335,088	335,088
Use Of Money And Property	621,870	634,761
Licenses and Permits	2,895,000	2,022,000
Fines and Sale of Property	1,398,500	1,336,000
Miscellaneous	659,250	1,016,027
Interfund Revenues	565,000	525,000
Appropriated Fund Balance	837,545	-
Federal Aid	611,200	315,000
Interfund Transfers	1,560,325	790,000
Long Term Debt Proceeds	1,620,000	1,512,000
State Aid	<u>6,244,676</u>	<u>5,967,406</u>
 Total Revenue	 <u>\$ 81,798,874</u>	 <u>\$ 82,397,745</u>
Expenditures:		
General Government Support	\$ 13,443,026	\$ 13,115,980
Education	354,000	229,000
Public Safety	16,899,533	17,542,016
Transportation	2,214,884	2,043,266
Economic Assistance and Opportunity	427,435	420,031
Culture And Recreation	7,096,910	6,925,644
Home And Community Services	8,017,940	8,015,843
Interfund Transfers	12,320,244	12,868,381
Employee Benefit	<u>21,024,902</u>	<u>21,237,584</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 81,798,874</u>	 <u>\$ 82,397,745</u>

Source: City of Long Beach Adopted Budgets. Summary itself isn't audited.

CITY OF LONG BEACH
Balance Sheet
General Fund
Fiscal Year Ended June 30:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018⁽¹⁾</u>
Assets:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 3,425,998	\$ 3,386,294	\$ 2,597,117
Restricted Cash	30,862	28,858	28,858
Accounts Receivable	1,815,348	757,889	900,177
Benefit Assessment Receivable	168,930	168,930	0
Due From Other Funds	6,184,151	7,946,911	8,281,923
State and Federal Aid Receivables	0	1,474,187	910,310
Advances to Other Funds	1,373,327	1,373,327	0
Due From Other Governments	2,376,482	1,389,462	1,216,323
Prepaid Items	96,066	119,558	109,081
Length of Service Award Program (LOSAP) Assets	<u>0</u>	<u>1,789,411</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Assets	<u><u>\$ 15,471,164</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 18,434,827</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 14,043,789</u></u>
Liabilities			
Accounts Payables and Accrued Liabilities	\$ 5,948,333	\$ 4,568,192	\$ 4,112,310
Due to Other Funds	981,353	222,529	1,440,043
Due To Other Governments	66,377	4,998	1,589,136
Notes Payable	0	4,200,000	4,000,000
Tax and Assessment Refunds Payable	0	0	0
Unearned Revenues	<u>680,553</u>	<u>815,791</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Liabilities	<u><u>\$ 7,676,616</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 9,811,510</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 11,141,489</u></u>
Deferred Inflow of Resources			
Unavailable Revenues	<u>246,199</u>	<u>258,518</u>	<u>1,011,996</u>
Total Deferred Inflow of Resources	<u>246,199</u>	<u>258,518</u>	<u>1,011,996</u>
Fund Balances:			
Restricted	\$ 30,862	\$ 2,557,023	\$ 28,858
Nonspendable	1,469,393	1,367,885	1,357,408
Assigned	637,545	837,545	0
Unassigned	<u>5,410,549</u>	<u>3,602,346</u>	<u>504,037</u>
Total Fund Equity	<u>7,548,349</u>	<u>8,364,799</u>	<u>1,890,303</u>
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balances	<u><u>\$ 15,471,164</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 18,434,827</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 14,043,788</u></u>

(1) Unaudited.

Source: Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements of the City. Summary itself isn't audited.

CITY OF LONG BEACH
 Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
 and Changes in Fund Balance
 General Fund
 Fiscal Year Ended June 30:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018⁽¹⁾</u>
Revenues:						
Real Property Taxes	\$ 32,574,456	\$ 33,053,752	\$ 32,604,023	\$ 33,644,491	\$ 35,670,101	\$ 36,596,407
Other Tax Items	589,935	479,862	495,103	525,970	528,089	431,827
Non-Property Tax Items	4,437,062	5,116,686	5,333,572	5,041,559	5,389,524	5,500,218
Departmental Income	15,368,845	16,192,225	18,203,106	20,210,897	19,488,452	19,733,418
Intergovernmental Charges	754,011	975,878	1,378,073	296,108	(152,779)	460,758
Use Of Money And Property	545,634	399,474	536,565	640,915	662,470	511,221
Licenses And Permits	1,155,572	1,675,810	3,992,911	1,871,983	2,067,526	2,072,952
Fines And Forfeitures	750,929	699,556	748,955	798,254	779,829	778,129
Special Assessments	537,606	800,174	-	-	-	-
Sale Of Property And Compensation For Loss	-	-	401,517	618,637	1,046,295	393,466
Miscellaneous	260,273	499,179	780,523	844,315	833,550	510,682
Interfund Revenues	-	410,725	53,191	180,725	-	27,531
State and Federal Aid	6,486,347	5,497,389	6,044,924	6,444,947	8,737,167	6,689,191
Total Revenues	\$ 63,460,670	\$ 65,800,710	\$ 70,572,463	\$ 71,118,801	\$ 75,050,224	\$ 73,705,800
Expenditures:						
General Government Support	\$ 10,709,814	\$ 12,517,613	\$ 14,349,805	\$ 13,543,278	\$ 13,170,523	\$ 12,017,844
Public Safety	14,895,398	21,056,595	17,153,481	17,277,790	17,354,500	17,562,879
Transportation	1,567,244	1,618,824	2,005,825	2,147,642	1,987,190	2,087,294
Economic Assistance	107,099	136,002	299,137	680,623	309,880	365,048
Culture And Recreation	5,712,571	6,776,869	6,712,869	6,859,876	7,158,243	7,323,121
Home And Community Services	7,147,359	7,756,257	8,391,745	8,333,662	8,286,086	8,132,609
Education	151,791	297,951	365,759	267,964	144,995	319,795
Employee Benefits	17,344,192	18,515,713	19,722,303	20,226,230	21,927,551	20,105,030
Debt Service	66,118	611,636	103,555	113,897	116,942	785,000
Total Expenditures	\$ 57,701,586	\$ 69,287,460	\$ 69,104,479	\$ 69,450,962	\$ 70,455,910	\$ 68,698,620
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ 5,759,084	\$ 3,486,750	\$ 1,467,984	\$ 1,667,839	\$ 4,594,314	\$ 5,007,180
Other Financing Sources (Uses):						
Issuance of Debt	-	12,285,414	-	-	-	-
Premiums on Obligations	5,021,200	-	2,920,000	-	-	-
Operating Transfer In	250	4,904,319	636,805	1,354,283	496,832	1,206,845
Premiums on Debt Issuance	-	52,827	-	-	-	-
Operating Transfers out	(4,190,975)	(4,678,009)	(5,818,889)	(7,476,088)	(8,023,868)	(11,449,209)
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	2,867,451	2,010,957	550,000
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$ 830,475	\$ 12,564,551	\$ (2,262,084)	\$ (3,254,354)	\$ (5,516,079)	\$ (9,692,364)
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	6,589,559	9,077,801	(794,100)	(1,586,515)	(921,765)	(4,685,184)
Fund Balance Beginning of Year	\$ (5,738,396)	\$ 851,163	\$ 9,928,964	\$ 9,134,864	\$ 9,286,564 *	\$ 6,575,391
Fund Balance End of Year	\$ 851,163	\$ 9,928,964	\$ 9,134,864	\$ 7,548,349	\$ 8,364,799	\$ 1,890,207

(1) Unaudited.

* Restated

Source: Audited and Unaudited Financial Statements of the City. Summary itself isn't audited.

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX C
AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017*

**CAN BE ACCESSED ON THE ELECTRONIC MUNICIPAL MARKET ACCESS
("EMMA") WEBSITE
OF THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULEMAKING BOARD ("MSRB")
AT THE FOLLOWING LINK:**

<https://emma.msrb.org/ES1351660.pdf>

**The audited financial statements referenced above are hereby incorporated into this
Official Statement.**

*** Albrecht, Viggiano, Zureck & Company, P.C., Certified Public Accountants has not commented on or approved this Official Statement, has not been requested to perform any procedures on the information in its included report since its date and has not been asked to consent to the inclusion of its report in this Official Statement.**